Edward Hernstadt (EH 9569) HERNSTADT ATLAS LLP 11 Broadway, Suite 615 New York, New York 10004 212-809-2501 212-214-0307

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

AXAL DOCENTIAL

AYAL ROSENTHAL,

Plaintiff,

08-cv-5338 (LAK) ECF CASE

v.

NEW YORK UNIVERSITY, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY LEONARD N. STERN SCHOOL OF BUSINESS, and THOMAS F. COOLEY, Richard R. West Dean of the Leonard N. Stern School of Business, DECLARATION OF EDWARD HERNSTADT IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION MOTION TO COMPEL

Defendants

- 1. I am counsel to Plaintiff Ayal Rosenthal and submit this Declaration in support of Plaintiff's motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("FRCP") 37(a) to compel the deposition of Tim Colvin.
- 2. Mr. Colvin was the Chair of the Stern School Judiciary Committee in early 2007, and, according to documents produced by defendants, undertook an inquiry into whether the Stern School had jurisdiction to pursue disciplinary action against Mr. Rosenthal under NYU and Stern School Rules.
- 3. Last week I was advised that Mr. Colvin, who is not a party to this litigation, is represented by the NYU Office of General Counsel. Plaintiff was required to continue the efforts to find and serve Mr. Colvin with the Subpoena, however, because Defendants' counsel, Nancy Kilson, could not confirm that her office could accept service of

the subpoena on his behalf.

4. On December 21, 2009, after numerous attempts to locate and serve

him, Mr. Colvin was served with a FRCP Rule 45 Subpoena calling for his deposition on

December 30, 2009, and that same date I sent a copy of the Subpoena and notice of Mr.

Colvin's deposition to Ms. Kilson. Copies of the Subpoena and the Notice of Deposition are

attached hereto as Exhibit A. Because the last date for discovery in this case is December 31,

2009, it is necessary that the deposition take place as scheduled.

I have attempted to resolve the scheduling of the deposition with Ms. 5.

Kilson but was informed by her that she would not be available on December 30, 2009 for

the deposition and that "this deposition will not proceed on that date, or on any other date,

unless Judge Kaplan extends discovery, a request I intend to oppose." In response to my

response to her that the NYU Office Of General Counsel had other attorneys, one of whom

could presumably be present at the deposition, Ms. Kilson responded that "[t]here will be no

deposition on 12/30, and if you make any arrangements to conduct one, it's on you."

Accordingly, Plaintiff hereby moves for an Order directing that the 6.

deposition of Tim Colvin take place pursuant to subpoena on December 30, 2009.

Alternatively, Plaintiff respectfully reiterates the request contained in my letter to the Court

of December 21, 2009, that the discovery period be extended to January 15, 2010.

7. I hereby declare pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746 and under penalty of

perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: New York, New York

December 22, 2009

Edward &

2

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of New York

AYAL ROSENTHAL)
Plaintiff	,)
v	Civil Action No. 08-CIV-5338 (LAK)
NEW YORK UNIVERSITY, NYU STERN SCHOOL)
OF BUSINESS, AND THOMAS COOLEY) (If the action is pending in another district, state where:
Defendant)
SUBPOENA TO TESTI	FY AT A DEPOSITION
	IENTS IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: TIM COLVIN	
Testimony: YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear deposition to be taken in this civil action. If you are an organ one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or design about the following matters, or those set forth in an attachmatic	at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a unization that is <i>not</i> a party in this case, you must designate gnate other persons who consent to testify on your behalf ent:
Place: Hernstadt Atlas LLP, 11 Broadway, Suite 615, NY, I	Date and Time:
10004	12/30/2009 10:00 am
The deposition will be recorded by this method: _s	tenographically by a certified court reporter
electronically stored information, or objects, and pe material:	o bring with you to the deposition the following documents, ermit their inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the Faculty Complaint dated February 28, 2007 against Ayal
The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c), relating to 3 45 (d) and (e), relating to your duty to respond to this subposition attached.	your protection as a person subject to a subpoena, and Rule bena and the potential consequences of not doing so, are
Date: 12/18/2009	,
CLERK OF COURT	
	OR S
Signature of Clerk or Deputy Cla	2rk Attorney x signature
The name, address, e-mail, and telephone number of the atte	orney representing (name of party) Ayal Rosenthal
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, who issues or requests this subpoena, are:
Edward Hernstadt, Hernstadt Atlas LLP, 11 Broadway, Sui	

AO 88A (Rev. 01/09) Subpoces to Testify at a Deposition or to Produce Documents in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 08-CIV-5338 (LAK)

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

s received by me on (da	(name of individual and title, if any)		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
☐ I personally ser	rved the subpoena on the individual at	" · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		On (date)	; or
I left the subpo	ena at the individual's residence or us	ual place of abode with (name)	
	, a po	erson of suitable age and discretion who	resides there
on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the	e individual's last known address; or	
☐ I served the sul	ppocna on (name of individual)		, who is
designated by law	to accept service of process on behal	f of (name of organization)	•
		on Alma	; or
☐ I returned the s	ubpoena unexecuted because		; (
Other (specify):	 -	-	
		States, or one of its officers or agents,	
tendered to the wi		States, or one of its officers or agents, and the mileage allowed by law, in the ar	
tendered to the wi	tness fees for one day's attendance, ar		mount of
tendered to the wi S fees are S	tness fees for one day's attendance, ar	for services, for a total of S	mount of
tendered to the wi S fees are S I declare under pe	tness fees for one day's attendance, ar for travel and S	for services, for a total of S	mount of
tendered to the wi S fees are S I declare under pe	tness fees for one day's attendance, ar for travel and S	for services, for a total of S	mount of
sfccs are S	tness fees for one day's attendance, ar for travel and S	for services, for a total of S strue.	mount of

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), and (e) (Effective 12/1/07)

(c) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena.

- (1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpocna must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpocna. The issuing court must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees on a party or attorney who fails to comply.
 - (2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.
- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- (B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the issuing court for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.
- (3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.
- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the issuing court must quash or modify a subpoena that:
 - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to travel more than 100 miles from where that person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person except that, subject to Rule 45(c)(3)(B)(iii), the person may be commanded to attend a trial by traveling from any such place within the state where the trial is held;
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- (B) When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the issuing court may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (I) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information;
- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party; or
- (iii) a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial.
- (C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(c)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:
- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
- (ii) ensures that the subpoensed person will be reasonably compensated.

- (d) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.
- (1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.
 These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- (A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- (B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoent does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- (C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- (D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.
 - (2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.
- (A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpocuaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
 - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- (B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.
- (e) Contempt. The issuing court may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena. A nonparty's failure to obey must be excused if the subpoena purports to require the nonparty to attend or produce at a place outside the limits of Rule 45(c)(3)(A)(ii).

Case 1:08-cv-05338-LAK Document 65 Filed 02/01/10 Page 7 of 9 <u>AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE</u>

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Southern District of New York

Index Number: 08-CIV-5338(LAK)	Date Filed:
most named to extraord and	
Plaintiff:	
AYAL ROSENTHAL	
VS.	
Defendant: NEW YORK UNIVERSITY, et al.	
Received by ASK Litigation Support, Inc. to be served on TIM COLVIN, 51	5 West 52nd St. New York, NY 10019.
I, Simon Kahn, being duly sworn, depose and say that on the 21st day of D	December, 2009 at 9:35 pm, I:
delivered a true copy of the Subpoena to Testify and Produce Document him/herself to me and was served in hand, at the actual place of residence	
Additional Information pertaining to this Service: Attempts: 3:50 to 4:20 at business on 12/18, at residence @9:30pm and 12	2/19 @ 12:20pm.
Description of Person Served: Age: 42, Sex: M, Race/Skin Color: Cauca Brown, Glasses: N	sian, Height: 6'3", Weight: 190, Hair:
I am over the age of 18, not a party to this action, and reside in the State of	New York.
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of Amer in the Proof of Service is true and correct.	rica that the foregoing information contained
	· 600
Executed on 2/2/09 Simon Kahn	- race

ASK Litigation Support, Inc. D/B/A Firm Service 211 East 43rd Street, Suite 1006 New York, NY 10017

(212) 481-9000

Simon Kahn 0842361

Our Job Serial Number: 2009000824

Edward Hernstadt (EH 9569) HERNSTADT ATLAS LLP 11 Broadway, Suite 615 New York, New York 10004 212-809-2501 212-214-0307

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

AYAL ROSENTHAL, :

Plaintiff, : 08-cv-5338 (LAK)

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION

v. :

NEW YORK UNIVERSITY, NEW YORK
UNIVERSITY LEONARD N. STERN SCHOOL
OF BUSINESS, and THOMAS F. COOLEY,
Richard R. West Dean of the Leonard N. Stern
School of Business.

Defendants :

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Rule 30 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, plaintiff Ayal Rosenthal will take the deposition of Tim Colvin upon oral examination, on December 30, 2009, at 10:00 a.m. at the offices of Hernstadt Atlas LLP, 11 Broadway, Suite 615, New York, New York, 10004, for the purpose of discovery or as evidence in this action. The deposition will be taken before a notary public or some other officer authorized to administer oaths in the United States and a certified shorthand reporter who will record the deposition stenographically. You are invited to attend and cross-examine.

Dated: New York, New York December 21, 2009

HERNSTADT ATLAS LLP

Bv:

Edward Hernstadt (EH-9569)

11 Broadway, Suite 615 New York, New York 10004 (212) 809-2501

Attorneys for Plaintiff Ayal Rosenthal

To: Nancy Kilson, Esq.
NEW YORK UNIVERSITY OFFICE
OF LEGAL COUNSEL
70 Washington Square South, Rm. 1158
New York, New York 10012
(212) 998-2258
Attorneys for Defendants